IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| SOLOMON FARR, |) No. C 08-5299 MMC (PR) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | ORDER OF TRANSFER |
| v. SOLANO COUNTY, Defendant. | (Docket No. 2) |

On November 21, 2008, plaintiff, a California prisoner incarcerated at the California Rehabilitation Center in Norco, California, and proceeding pro se, filed the above-titled civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges his constitutional rights were violated, in November 2007, during the course of his transfer from the Solano County Jail to High Desert State Prison. He is suing Solano County for monetary damages.

Venue may be raised by the court sua sponte where the defendant has not filed a responsive pleading and the time for doing so has not run. See Costlow v. Weeks, 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986). When jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity, venue is proper in (1) the district in which any defendant resides, if all of the defendants reside in the same state; (2) the district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is

situated; or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). When venue is improper, the district court has the discretion to either dismiss the case or transfer it "in the interest of justice." <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

It is clear from the complaint that plaintiff's claims arise out of acts alleged to have been committed by employees of Solano County. Solano County is located in the Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 84(b). Consequently, venue is proper in the Eastern District, not in the Northern District.

Accordingly, in the interest of justice, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), the above-titled action is hereby TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. In light of the transfer, this Court will defer to the Eastern District with respect to plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis.

This order terminates Docket No. 2.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 4, 2008

MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge